

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/783,703	02/20/2004	Chih-I Lin	1890-0057	5620		
7:	590 06/29/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER			
Maginot, Moore & Beck			MAI, L	MAI, LAM T		
Bank One Cent	er/Tower					
Suite 3000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
111 Monument		2819	2819			
Indianapolis, IN 46204			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_	4'14				
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/783,70	03	LIN, CHIH-I					
		Examine	•	Art Unit					
		LAM T. M		2819					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ISS				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provisions of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum staturure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the state story period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2004.								
2a)□	<u> </u>								
3)	<u>'</u>								
' —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
6)⊠									
7)									
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)[10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age				
* (See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	∍d.					
Attachmen	ıt(s)				i				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔯 Infori	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date 10/18/04		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)				
. 400	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/18/04</u> . 6) U Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/18/2004 has been considered by the examiner

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2819

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-31 of copending Application No. 10/783,704. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the structure and function of the claims in both applications are similarity.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAM T. MAI whose telephone number is (571)272-1807. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pascal J. Robert can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/783,703

Art Unit: 2819

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 4

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lam T. Mai

Art Unit 2819